


Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategy for Study Design and Analysis

Rae Woong Park, MD, PhD

Department of Biomedical Informatics, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea
veritas@ajou.ac.kr



By David A. Savitz

Oxford (NY): Oxford University Press; 2003.

ISBN-10 019510840X • ISBN-13 9780195108408

Hardcover • xi, 321 pages. Illus • 9.4 × 6.2 × 1.2 inches

\$59.95 US

Bias and confounding are the most notorious obstacles to overcome for researchers who are exploring the truth in medicine. Fortunately, a random clinical trial (RCT) study design is available, which enables bias minimization and reduction of confounding problems. However, advantages of RCT are rarely given to medical informaticians because RCT can be applied to prospective studies with prior hypotheses and structured study designs before experimentation.

Finding something important and meaningful from existing massive data collections are frequent and overwhelming tasks given to medical informaticians. We can easily extract something significant from existing data by using all the various analytical tools available. However, many statistically significant findings drawn from existing data may be hard to generalize even after obsessive control of available covariates. A study should be well-designed to control all the biases and confounding before analysis. Those who are exploring the existing data should be skeptical of given data, drawn results, and even themselves before verification of postulated results, so that they are reproducible elsewhere. Manuscripts with full consideration of bias and confounding are rarely seen in medical informatics area. Studies with only cursory evidence may not be accepted by the clinical society at large.

There seem to be only rare opportunities for informaticians to learn how to design a study and how to interpret results. For young student in medical informatics, only one or two classes of epidemiology in postgraduate school may be the only opportunities given to them. However, several such classes usually introduce only basic concepts, terms, and principles of study design on epidemiology. Of course, there are numerous books on epidemiology; however, these are generally full of symbols and detailed, complicated equations that usually make the readers, who are not familiar with statistics, disappointed and close the book before the second

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2011 The Korean Society of Medical Informatics

chapter.

In this point, “Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategy for Study Design and Analysis” written by Savitz [1] is a good book for readers who are not familiar with statistics. All the information in this book is presented without even a mathematical equation. Illustrative cases are quite helpful in understanding the underlying principles. The book includes the following topics: the nature of epidemiologic evidence; strategy for drawing inferences from epidemiologic evidence; selection bias in cohort studies; selection bias in case-control studies; bias due to loss of study participants; confounding; measurement and classification of exposure; measurement and classification of disease; random error; integration of evidence across studies; characterization of conclusions. Every chapter is worth reading. Readers who are not familiar with epidemiology should thoroughly read the first two chapters. These chapters also include specific illustrative examples of ‘efficacy of breast cancer screening’, ‘alcohol and spontaneous abortion’ and ‘dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane exposure and

breast cancer’ for the ease of readers’ understanding on the inferences from epidemiologic evidence. The main reason to read this book exists in the five chapters on bias, confounding, and random error. In conclusion, this book is highly recommended to students and researchers who are involved in medical informatics but not familiar with epidemiology. For more understanding, “Bias and Causation” by Weisberg [2] or “Statistics for Epidemiology” by Jewell [3] may be helpful.

References

1. Savitz DA. Interpreting epidemiologic evidence: strategies for study design and analysis. Oxford, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003.
2. Weisberg HI. Bias and causation: models and judgment for valid comparisons. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2010.
3. Jewell NP. Statistics for epidemiology. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC; 2004.

Epidemiology is a toolbox of research methods that is used to study patterns of disease as well as causes and effects of health in a population. An epidemiological study is defined by a research question that aims to describe the frequency distribution of an event or address the association between an exposure and an outcome. Statistical methods are applied to quantify this association. Savitz D. *Interpreting epidemiologic evidence: strategies for study design and analysis*. New York: Oxford University Press; 2003. CrossRefGoogle Scholar. 4. *Interpreting Epidemiologic Evidence: Strategies for Study Design and Analysis*. David A Savitz. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003, pp. 336, 34.50 (HB). By the end, however, I was convinced that this is a book every epidemiologist should read, or at least use as a reference text when designing and analysing studies. My initial judgement that it would be heavy-going for a new student of epidemiology remains unchanged, but the author states from the outset that this was not intended to be yet another standard text on epidemiology. Rather, the aim is to offer a comprehensive strategy for assessing the results of epidemiological studies. Keywords: study design. Scifeed alert for new publications. Never miss any articles matching your research from Savitz David A. (EN). Evaluating the strength or persuasiveness of epidemiologic evidence is inherently challenging, both for those new to the field and for experienced researchers. There are a myriad of potential biases to consider, but little guidance about how to assess the likely impact on study results. This book offers a strategy for assessing epidemiologic research findings, explicitly describing the goals and products of epidemiologic research in order to better evaluate its successes and limitations. The focus throughout is on practical tools for making optimal use of available data to